

SYNTHOLENE ENERGY

Technical and Economic Assessment
Summary Findings

May 2026

By Robert Rapier

Statement Regarding Independence

As of the date of this Report, and at all relevant times during the preparation of this Report, the author hereof and the Company dealt with each other at arm's length, the author was independent within the meaning of Section 1.4 of National Instrument 52-110 – Audit Committees, and the author was not a Non-Arm's Length Party to the Company within the meaning of Section 1.2 of Policy 1.1 – Interpretation of the TSX Venture Exchange. The author of this Report received a fixed fee of US\$6,000 from the Company in consideration for his work in authoring this Report. Such fee was not success or contingency based.

Reader's Advisory

The information in this report is not intended to be relied upon as advice to investors or potential investors and does not take into account the investment objectives, financial situation or needs of any particular investor. This report should not be construed as legal, financial or tax advice to any individual, as each individual's circumstances are different. Investing in securities, particularly microcap securities, is speculative and carries a high degree of risk. Past performance does not guarantee future results. Readers should consult with their own professional advisors regarding their particular circumstances. Readers are advised to read and carefully consider the risk factors identified and discussed in Syntholene Energy Corp.'s (the "Company") filings available under the Company's SEDAR+ profile.

Robert Rapier Summary Biography

Robert Rapier is a seasoned chemical engineer with over three decades of international experience in the energy sector. He holds undergraduate degrees in chemistry and mathematics, and a master's in chemical engineering. Robert has worked extensively in oil refining, production, synthetic fuels, biomass energy, and alcohol production, earning several patents along the way. As Editor-in-Chief of Shale Magazine and a prolific author for Investing Daily, he shares his expertise through various newsletters and his book, Power Plays. Robert's insights have been featured on 60 Minutes, The History Channel, CNBC, and PBS, among others. His articles have appeared in top publications like the Wall Street Journal, Washington Post, and The Economist. For over a decade, he has covered the energy sector for Forbes.

- Forbes

Robert is a chemical engineer with degrees in chemistry, mathematics, and chemical engineering, and he has more than three decades of experience. He has led and reviewed projects across the major synthetic-fuel pathways, including gas-to-liquids (GTL), vegetable-oil-to-diesel, and biomass-to-liquids via Fischer–Tropsch (FT). Early in his career, he worked at ConocoPhillips on hydrogen production and FT upgrading challenges. He was later recruited to serve as editor-in-chief of *Shale Magazine* and has covered the energy sector for *Forbes* for over a decade, with energy pieces that have surpassed one million views.

Robert's due diligence and troubleshooting work is both extensive and international. As CTO for a Hawaii-based entrepreneur, he evaluated advanced fuel ventures worldwide, including Shell's GTL complex in Bintulu, Malaysia, as well as projects in Panama, Switzerland, Malaysia, and New Zealand. He assessed and assisted with CHOREN's wood-to-diesel effort in Germany, then backed by Shell and Volkswagen, documenting how compounding engineering issues can become program-stopping risks. He also evaluated Carbon Recycling International in Iceland, analyzing their geothermal-enabled methanol production from CO₂ and hydrogen, and reported his findings in *Forbes*.

He has publicly and rigorously assessed biofuel companies such as Gevo and KiOR, where he served as an expert witness for the State of Mississippi and forecasted KiOR's bankruptcy on *60 Minutes*. He has also authored a book chapter outlining practical due-diligence questions for investors in alternative-fuel projects, "Investing in Cleantech: A Guide to Technical Due Diligence," Chapter 10 of *Power Plays: Energy Options in the Age of Peak Oil* (2012), pp. 147–159.

Across these engagements, Robert has specialized in identifying refinery-integration constraints, product-slate challenges in Fischer–Tropsch systems, and the economic choke points that determine whether technically viable fuels can scale competitively. He is recognized as a leading global expert in the rapidly evolving synthetic fuel sector.

Executive Summary

Syntholene Energy is developing a scalable, zero-emissions hydrogen platform built on high-temperature solid oxide electrolysis cells (SOECs) with integrated heat recovery. The company's first pilot project is planned for Iceland, where abundant renewable power and supportive policies create ideal conditions for cost-competitive hydrogen production as a feedstock for synthetic fuels.

SOEC technology operates at 700–850°C, enabling part of the required energy to come from heat instead of electricity. This makes hydrogen production more efficient and cost-effective than conventional polymer electrolyte membrane (PEM) electrolysis. Syntholene's modeling suggests hydrogen could be produced at or below \$2/kg, competitive with steam methane reforming (SMR) once carbon capture costs are included. With emissions below 4 kg CO₂e per kg of hydrogen, the platform is positioned to qualify for the top tier of U.S. clean hydrogen tax credits.

The design relies on proven science and commercially available components. The engineering challenges ahead include thermal integration, system optimization, and stack durability, and are well-defined and solvable. Compared with PEM systems, SOECs require fewer constrained materials, supporting better scalability and resilience.

Risks remain, particularly around capital intensity, integration, and evolving policy frameworks. However, Syntholene's roadmap emphasizes pilot validation, third-party testing, and strategic partnerships to de-risk development and attract investment. In parallel, its architecture supports downstream production of synthetic sustainable aviation fuel (eSAF), a high-value growth market under new international mandates.

In short, Syntholene Energy offers a credible path to low-cost, zero-carbon hydrogen and eSAF, with the potential to become a cornerstone of industrial decarbonization and clean fuel production.

Market Context

Hydrogen demand is projected to rise dramatically, reaching up to 660 million metric tons annually by 2050. Today, over 95% of hydrogen is produced from fossil fuels, generating about 10 kg of CO₂ per kg of hydrogen. Steam methane reforming (SMR) is cheap (\$1–1.50/kg) but environmentally unsustainable without costly carbon capture. Conventional electrolysis, meanwhile, is clean but typically costs \$3–5/kg.

SOEC technology bridges this gap. By operating at high temperatures and substituting heat for part of the electricity load, it can reduce electricity consumption to under 37 kWh/kg H₂: translating to conversion efficiencies of approximately 70–75% under standard conditions, and up to 90% when integrated with low-grade heat sources such as geothermal or nuclear steam. This compares favorably to PEM systems, which typically operate at 45–65% efficiency and require 50–60 kWh/kg. This advantage creates a pathway to sub-\$2/kg hydrogen.

Global policy support is accelerating adoption. In the U.S., the Inflation Reduction Act offers up to \$3/kg in production credits for clean hydrogen, while Europe's REPowerEU initiative and similar programs in Asia and China are pushing billions toward hydrogen infrastructure. Syntholene's Iceland pilot aligns with this momentum, offering real-world validation in a 100% renewable grid environment.

Technical Concept

The Syntholene platform leverages SOECs within a thermal recovery loop to improve efficiency and cut costs. Unlike PEM systems, which rely solely on electricity, SOECs draw energy from both electricity and heat. Waste heat or renewable thermal inputs can reduce power demand by up to 30%.

Key differentiators:

- Lower electricity demand: <37 kWh/kg H₂ versus 50–60 kWh/kg for PEM.
- Cost target: \$1.50–2.00/kg H₂, undercutting SMR with CCS.
- Lifecycle emissions: <4 kg CO₂e/kg H₂, qualifying for current emissions-reduction incentives.
- Materials: Fewer supply chain constraints than PEM.
- Scalability: Modular design enables distributed or centralized deployment.

This system also enables co-electrolysis of steam and CO₂, generating syngas for eSAF production. That integration positions Syntholene to serve both hydrogen and aviation fuel markets.

Economics & Feasibility

The economics of clean hydrogen hinge on production cost. Syntholene's SOEC-based system has the potential to reach \$1.50–2.00/kg through high-temperature efficiency gains and thermal integration, but this hinges strongly on the cost of electricity. In Iceland, abundant geothermal and hydropower further strengthen competitiveness.

Engineering feasibility is supported by decades of SOEC research. The remaining hurdles are primarily integration challenges rather than scientific unknowns. Materials for SOECs, such as ceramic electrolytes, nickel catalysts, and stainless-steel interconnects, are commercially available and less constrained than those required for PEM. The platform's modularity and reliance on proven components reduce execution risk.

Strategic Relevance

Beyond hydrogen, Syntholene's architecture supports eSAF production, a critical pathway for decarbonizing long-haul aviation. Hydrogen accounts for up to 70% of e-fuel production costs, meaning Syntholene's low-cost supply could directly enable commercially viable eSAF. Global mandates, such as the EU's ReFuelEU initiative requiring 70% renewable aviation fuel by 2050, create a robust demand signal for this capability.

Iceland's policy roadmap positions it as a strategic launchpad for eSAF, with direct export potential to Europe. Syntholene's compatibility with carbon capture and syngas production provides flexibility for diverse downstream applications.

Risks & Mitigation

- Technical: Thermal management and SOEC durability require rigorous testing.
- Economic: Capital intensity and input price volatility could impact costs.
- Policy: Future changes to IRA incentives or lifecycle emissions standards may shift economics.
- Market: Offtake agreements remain scarce across the hydrogen sector; securing buyers early is key.

Mitigation pathways include staged prototyping, third-party validation, partnerships with industrial heat providers, and modular deployment to reduce upfront exposure.

Investor Takeaways

Syntholene Energy presents an early-stage but strategically aligned opportunity in the global hydrogen economy. The platform's efficiency potential, favorable cost profile, and eSAF compatibility make it stack up favorably against other clean hydrogen solutions.

Near-term value drivers:

1. Pilot validation in Iceland using renewable power and geothermal heat.
2. Demonstrated eligibility for hydrogen tax credits and subsidies.
3. Partnerships with industrial heat and eSAF developers.

Long-term upside:

- Entry into high-growth hydrogen markets as demand accelerates.
- Strategic positioning in aviation decarbonization through eSAF.
- Potential to scale globally as costs fall and policy support expands.

The case for Syntholene comes down to three things: competitive cost, scalable technology, and strategic relevance. Hydrogen demand is set to surge over the next two decades, and the technologies that can produce it below \$2/kg with minimal emissions will capture outsized value.

By combining high-temperature electrolysis with integrated heat recovery, Syntholene's platform aims to undercut clean hydrogen competitors while qualifying for tax credits that further strengthen project economics. Its compatibility with eSAF production adds another layer of strategic value, particularly as aviation fuel mandates take effect in Europe and beyond.

For investors, the opportunity spans multiple layers of the energy transition. Battery metals and PEM electrolyzers face resource bottlenecks; SOECs offer a more resilient supply chain. Utilities and industrial buyers are seeking dispatchable clean hydrogen, and eSAF producers need affordable feedstock. Syntholene's technology sits at the crossroads of these demands.

Early-stage risks are real, but they are manageable. The engineering challenges are integration problems, not scientific unknowns. Iceland offers a low-risk pilot environment, with 100% renewable power and access to geothermal heat. The roadmap is disciplined: validate the pilot, confirm economics, and then scale through partnerships.

The bottom line: Syntholene has the potential to be a platform technology, one that can deliver affordable, zero-carbon hydrogen at scale while unlocking pathways to sustainable fuels. For investors seeking exposure to the energy transition, Syntholene offers both near-term milestones and long-term growth potential.